Transverse-momentum resummation for top-quark pair production at hadron colliders

Hayk Sargsyan

in collaboration with R. Bonciani, S. Catani, M. Grazzini

University of Zurich

HP2.6, Buenos Aires, September 8, 2016

・ロト ・ 同 ・ ・ ヨ ・ ・ ヨ ・ うへつ

Outline

- Motivation
- ▶ q_T-resummation
 - Preliminary results

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● のへぐ

- *q*_T-subtraction
 - Results
- Summary

Top quark

Mass of the top quark obtained through combining the measurements at the Tevatron and LHC colliders is $m_t = 173.34 \pm 0.27 (stat) \pm 0.71 (syst) \text{ GeV}$ [ATLAS and CDF and CMS and D0 Collaborations (2014)].

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ■ ののの

- Strong coupling to the Higgs boson
- Crucial to the hierarchy problem

Top quark pair production

- The top quark pair production is the main source of the top quark events in the Standard Model (SM).
- Many New Physics models involve heavy top partners which then decay into a top quark pair.

The study of the $t\bar{t}$ pair production at hadron colliders can

- shed light on the electroweak symmetry breaking mechanism.
- provide information on the backgrounds of many NP models.

Top quark pair production

 Because of its large mass the top quark decay before hadronization, allowing for a better experimental



More precise calculations are needed from the theory side

・ コット (雪) (小田) (コット 日)

QCD corrections

- NLO QCD corrections are calculated by [Nason, Dawson and Ellis (1988), Beenakker, Kuijf, van Neerven and Smith (1989), Beenakker, van Neerven, Meng, Schuler and Smith (1989)].
- NNLO corrections in the threshold region are worked out in [Ahrens, Ferroglia, Neubert, Pecjak, Yang (2010), Beneke, Falgari, Schwinn (2010), Cacciari, Czakon, Mangano, Mitov, Nason (2012)].
- The calculation of the full NNLO QCD corrections was completed for the total cross section and for the *tt* asymmetry. [Barnreuther, Czakon, Mitov (2012), Czakon, Mitov (2012, 2013), Czakon, Fiedler, Mitov (2013, 2014)].
- The first NNLO results for differential distributions at the Tevatron and LHC have been computed [Czakon, Heymes, Mitov (2016), Czakon, Fiedler, Heymes, Mitov (2016)].
- Other computations of differential distributions are underway [Abelof, Gehrmann-De Ridder, Maierhofer (2014), Abelof, Gerhrmann-de Ridder (2014), Abelof, Gerhrmann-de Ridder, Majer (2016)].
- Last year the NNLO corrections for all off-diagonal partonic channels have been computed by our group [Bonciani, Catani, Grazzini, HS, Torre].

q_T distribution

- When q²_T ~ M², α_S(M²) is small, and the standard fixed order expansion is theoretically justified.
- When q²_T ≪ M² large logarithms of the form αⁿ_S log(M²/q²_T) appear, due to soft and collinear gluon emissions. Effective expansion variable is the αⁿ_S log(M²/q²_T), which can be ~ 1 even for small α_S. These large logarithms need to be resummed to all orders in α_S, in order to get reliable predictions over the whole range of the transverse momenta.

The resummation of large logs results in exponentiating these large logarithmic terms

$$\sigma^{(res)} \sim \sigma^{(0)} C(\alpha_S) \exp \left\{ Lg_1(\alpha_S L) + g_2(\alpha_S L) + \alpha_S g_3(\alpha_S L) + \ldots \right\} .$$
hard-virtual LL NLL NNLL

Resummation for the $t\bar{t}$ production

Production of coloured particles imposes additional complications compared to the production of a colourless system.

- Soft and collinear QCD radiation from the final state particles
- Colour flow between initial and final state particles leading to non-trivial colour correlations

The top quark is massive

The collinear limit is not singular —> LL structure unaffected

(ロ) (同) (三) (三) (三) (三) (○) (○)

Additional NLL from large-angle soft radiation

Resummation for the $t\bar{t}$ production

- The first attempt to develop a q_T-resummation formalism at next-to-leading logarithmic (NLL) accuracy for tt production was done in [Berger, Meng (1994), Mrenna, Yuan (1997)]. However, they did not consider colour mixing between singlet and octet final states and missed the initial-final gluon exchange.
- ► The resummation for the tt q_T spectrum, based on soft collinear effective theory (SCET), was performed at NNLL+NLO. [Zhu, Li, Li, Shao, Yang (2013)]. This work is limited to the study of the q_T cross section after integration over the azimuthal angles of the produced heavy quarks.
- The q_T-resummation in QCD was performed at the fully-differential level with respect to the kinematics of the produced heavy quarks. [Catani, Grazzini, Torre (2014)].

The resummation procedure at small q_T $h_1(P_1) + h_2(P_2) \rightarrow Q(p_3) + \overline{Q}(p_4) + X.$

Consider the most general fully-differential cross section

$$\frac{d\sigma(P_1, P_2; \mathbf{q_T}, M, y, \Omega)}{d^2 \mathbf{q_T} dM^2 dy d\Omega}$$

where P_1 and P_2 are the momenta of incoming hadrons, \mathbf{q}_T , M and y are the transverse momentum vector, invariant mass and rapidity of the $Q\bar{Q}$ pair, Ω is a set of two additional independent kinematical variables that specify the angular distribution of heavy quarks with respect to the momentum q of the $Q\bar{Q}$ pair. For instance $\Omega = \{y_3, \phi_3\}$.

 Decompose the cross section in a singular and a regular part

$$d\sigma = d\sigma^{(\text{sing})} + d\sigma^{(\text{reg})}$$

- $d\sigma^{(\text{sing})}$ embodies all the singular terms in the limit $q_T \rightarrow 0$.
- $d\sigma^{(\text{reg})}$ includes the remaining non-singular terms.

The resummation procedure at small q_T $h_1(P_1) + h_2(P_2) \rightarrow Q(p_3) + \overline{Q}(p_4) + X.$

Consider the most general fully-differential cross section

$$\frac{d\sigma(P_1, P_2; \mathbf{q_T}, M, y, \Omega)}{d^2 \mathbf{q_T} dM^2 dy d\Omega}$$

where P_1 and P_2 are the momenta of incoming hadrons, \mathbf{q}_T , M and y are the transverse momentum vector, invariant mass and rapidity of the $Q\bar{Q}$ pair, Ω is a set of two additional independent kinematical variables that specify the angular distribution of heavy quarks with respect to the momentum q of the $Q\bar{Q}$ pair. For instance $\Omega = \{y_3, \phi_3\}$.

- Decompose the cross section in a singular and a regular part should be replaced by $d\sigma^{res}$ $d\sigma = d\sigma^{(sing)} + d\sigma^{(reg)}$.
 - $d\sigma^{(\text{sing})}$ embodies all the singular terms in the limit $q_T \rightarrow 0$.
 - $d\sigma^{(\text{reg})}$ includes the remaining non-singular terms.

Is obtained by working in impact parameter b space.

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d\sigma^{(\text{res})}}{d^2 \mathbf{q_T} dM^2 dy d\Omega} &= \frac{M^2}{s} \sum_{c=q,\bar{q},g} \left[d\sigma^{(0)}_{cc} \right] \int \frac{d^2 \mathbf{b}}{(2\pi)^2} e^{i\mathbf{b}\mathbf{q_T}} S_c(M,b) \\ &\times \sum_{a_1,a_2} \int_{x_1}^1 \frac{dz_1}{z_1} \int_{x_2}^1 \frac{dz_2}{z_2} \left[(\mathbf{H}\Delta) C_1 C_2 \right]_{c\bar{c};a_1a_2} \times \\ &f_{a_1/h_1}(x_1/z_1, b_0^2/b^2) f_{a_2/h_2}(x_2/z_2, b_0^2/b^2) \,. \end{aligned}$$

 $b_0 = 2e^{-\gamma_E}$ (γ_E is the Euler number).

$$x_1 = rac{M}{\sqrt{s}}e^{+y}$$
 $x_2 = rac{M}{\sqrt{s}}e^{-y}$.

$$\ln S_{c}(M,b) = \int_{M^{2}}^{b_{0}^{2}/b^{2}} \frac{dq^{2}}{q^{2}} \left[A_{c}(\alpha_{S}(q^{2})) \ln \frac{M^{2}}{q^{2}} + B_{c}(\alpha_{S}(q^{2})) \right] .$$

L: $A_{c}^{(1)}$, NLL: $A_{c}^{(2)}, B_{c}^{(1)}$.

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d\sigma^{(\text{res})}}{d^2 \mathbf{q_T} dM^2 dy d\Omega} &= \frac{M^2}{s} \sum_{c=q,\bar{q},g} \left[d\sigma^{(0)}_{cc} \right] \int \frac{d^2 \mathbf{b}}{(2\pi)^2} e^{i\mathbf{b}\mathbf{q_T}} S_c(M,b) \\ &\times \sum_{a_1,a_2} \int_{x_1}^1 \frac{dz_1}{z_1} \int_{x_2}^1 \frac{dz_2}{z_2} \left[(\mathbf{H} \Delta) C_1 C_2 \right]_{c\bar{c};a_1a_2} \times \\ &f_{a_1/h_1}(x_1/z_1, b_0^2/b^2) f_{a_2/h_2}(x_2/z_2, b_0^2/b^2) \,. \end{aligned}$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ □▶ ◆ □▶ ● □ ● ● ● ●

$$\frac{d\sigma^{(\text{res})}}{d^{2}\mathbf{q}_{\mathsf{T}}dM^{2}dyd\Omega} = \frac{M^{2}}{s} \sum_{c=q,\bar{q},g} \left[d\sigma^{(0)}_{cc} \right] \int \frac{d^{2}\mathbf{b}}{(2\pi)^{2}} e^{i\mathbf{b}\mathbf{q}_{\mathsf{T}}} S_{c}(M,b)$$
$$\times \sum_{a_{1},a_{2}} \int_{x_{1}}^{1} \frac{dz_{1}}{z_{1}} \int_{x_{2}}^{1} \frac{dz_{2}}{z_{2}} \left[(\mathbf{H}\Delta)C_{1}C_{2} \right]_{c\bar{c};a_{1}a_{2}} \times f_{a_{1}/h_{1}}(x_{1}/z_{1},b_{0}^{2}/b^{2}) f_{a_{2}/h_{2}}(x_{2}/z_{2},b_{0}^{2}/b^{2}) .$$

(ロ)、





$$\frac{d\sigma^{(\text{res})}}{d^{2}\mathbf{q}_{\mathsf{T}}dM^{2}dyd\Omega} = \frac{M^{2}}{s} \sum_{c=q,\bar{q},g} \left[d\sigma^{(0)}_{cc} \right] \int \frac{d^{2}\mathbf{b}}{(2\pi)^{2}} e^{i\mathbf{b}\mathbf{q}_{\mathsf{T}}} S_{c}(M,b)$$

$$\times \sum_{a_{1},a_{2}} \int_{x_{1}}^{1} \frac{dz_{1}}{z_{1}} \int_{x_{2}}^{1} \frac{dz_{2}}{z_{2}} \left[(\mathbf{H}\Delta)C_{1}C_{2} \right]_{c\bar{c};a_{1}a_{2}} \times f_{a_{1}/h_{1}}(x_{1}/z_{1},b_{0}^{2}/b^{2})f_{a_{2}/h_{2}}(x_{2}/z_{2},b_{0}^{2}/b^{2}).$$

$$h_{2} \xrightarrow{f_{b/h_{2}}} C_{cb}$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● ● ● ●



$$\frac{d\sigma^{(\text{res})}}{d^{2}\mathbf{q}_{\mathsf{T}}dM^{2}dyd\Omega} = \frac{M^{2}}{s} \sum_{c=q,\bar{q},g} \left[d\sigma^{(0)}_{cc} \right] \int \frac{d^{2}\mathbf{b}}{(2\pi)^{2}} e^{i\mathbf{b}\mathbf{q}_{\mathsf{T}}} S_{c}(M,b)$$

$$\times \sum_{a_{1},a_{2}} \int_{x_{1}}^{1} \frac{dz_{1}}{z_{1}} \int_{x_{2}}^{1} \frac{dz_{2}}{z_{2}} \left[(\mathbf{H} \Delta) C_{1} C_{2} \right]_{c\bar{c};a_{1}a_{2}} \times f_{a_{1}/h_{1}}(x_{1}/z_{1},b_{0}^{2}/b^{2}) f_{a_{2}/h_{2}}(x_{2}/z_{2},b_{0}^{2}/b^{2}) .$$

$$h_{2} \underbrace{f_{b/h_{2}}}_{C_{cb}} k_{T} \sim \frac{1}{b} \underbrace{f_{b}}_{C_{cb}}$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ - 三 - のへぐ

















The soft-parton factor Δ $[(\mathcal{H}\Delta)C_1C_2]_{q\bar{q};a_1a_2} = \mathcal{H}\Delta_{q\bar{q}}C_{qa_1}\left(\alpha_S\left(b_0^2/b^2\right)\right)C_{\bar{q}a_2}\left(\alpha_S\left(b_0^2/b^2\right)\right).$ $[(\mathcal{H}\Delta)C_1C_2]_{aq;a_1a_2} = \mathcal{H}\Delta_{gg}C_{ga_1}^{\mu_1\nu_1}\left(\mathbf{b};\alpha_S\left(b_0^2/b^2\right)\right)\cdot C_{ga_2}^{\mu_2\nu_2}\left(\mathbf{b};\alpha_S\left(b_0^2/b^2\right)\right).$

$$\boldsymbol{\mathcal{H}} \boldsymbol{\Delta}_{q\bar{q}} = \frac{\langle \tilde{\mathcal{M}}_{q\bar{q} \to Q\bar{Q}} | \boldsymbol{\Delta} | \tilde{\mathcal{M}}_{q\bar{q} \to Q\bar{Q}} \rangle}{\alpha_{\mathcal{S}}^{2}(\boldsymbol{M}^{2}) \left| \mathcal{M}_{q\bar{q} \to Q\bar{Q}}^{(0)}(\boldsymbol{p}_{1}, \boldsymbol{p}_{2}, \boldsymbol{p}_{3}, \boldsymbol{p}_{4}) \right|^{2}} .$$
$$|\tilde{\mathcal{M}}_{c\bar{c} \to Q\bar{Q}} \rangle = \left[1 - \tilde{l}_{c\bar{c} \to Q\bar{Q}} \right] | \mathcal{M}_{c\bar{c} \to Q\bar{Q}} \rangle .$$

 $\boldsymbol{\Delta}(\boldsymbol{b},\boldsymbol{M};\boldsymbol{y}_{34},\phi_3) = \boldsymbol{\mathsf{V}}^{\dagger}(\boldsymbol{b},\boldsymbol{M};\boldsymbol{y}_{34})\boldsymbol{\mathsf{D}}(\alpha_{\mathcal{S}}\left(\boldsymbol{b}_0^2/\boldsymbol{b}^2\right);\phi_{3b},\boldsymbol{y}_{34})\boldsymbol{\mathsf{V}}(\boldsymbol{b},\boldsymbol{M};\boldsymbol{y}_{34})\,.$

$$\mathbf{V}(b, M; y_{34}) = \bar{P}_q \exp\left\{-\int_{b_0^2/b^2}^{M^2} \frac{dq^2}{q^2} \boldsymbol{\Gamma}_t(\alpha_{\mathcal{S}}(q^2); y_34)\right\} \,.$$

- *Γ_t* is the soft anomalous dimension matrix.
- ► **D** is the azimuthal-correlation matrix. $\langle \mathbf{D}(\alpha_{\mathcal{S}}; \phi_{3b}, y_{34}) \rangle_{\text{av.}} = 1.$
- All the perturbative coefficients are computed at NLO QCD.

The soft anomalous dimension operator

$$\boldsymbol{\Gamma}_t(\alpha_{\mathcal{S}};\boldsymbol{y}_{34}) = \frac{\alpha_{\mathcal{S}}}{\pi} \boldsymbol{\Gamma}_t^{(1)}(\boldsymbol{y}_{34}) + \left(\frac{\alpha_{\mathcal{S}}}{\pi}\right)^2 \boldsymbol{\Gamma}_t^{(2)}(\boldsymbol{y}_{34}) + \sum_{n=3}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\alpha_{\mathcal{S}}}{\pi}\right)^n \boldsymbol{\Gamma}_t^{(n)}(\boldsymbol{y}_{34}).$$

The colour basis: s-channel singlet-octet exchange tensors

$$\begin{array}{l} \bullet \ \ c_{1}^{q\bar{q}} = \delta_{ij}\delta_{kl} \,, \ \ c_{2}^{q\bar{q}} = t_{ji}^{c}t_{kl}^{c}, \\ \bullet \ \ c_{1}^{gg} = \delta_{ab}\delta_{kl} \,, \ \ c_{2}^{gg} = if^{abc}t_{kl}^{c} \,, \ \ c_{3}^{gg} = d^{abc}t_{kl}^{c}. \\ \Gamma_{ij} = \frac{1}{\langle c_{i}|c_{i}\rangle} \left\langle c_{i} \right| \Gamma_{t} \left| c_{j} \right\rangle \,. \end{array}$$

The soft anomalous dimension matrix is non-diagonal — needs to be diagonalized!

Transform the original basis $|I\rangle = R_{cI} |c\rangle$ by the diagonalization matrix

$$\mathbf{R}^{-1}\Gamma\mathbf{R} = \Gamma^{\text{diag}}$$

In the new basis the matrix element of soft anomalous dimension operator is given by

$$\Gamma_{IJ}^{\text{diag}} = \langle \mathcal{X}_I | \, \boldsymbol{\Gamma}_t \, | J \rangle \,\,, \,\, | \mathcal{X}_I \rangle = \sum_J \left(\boldsymbol{S}^{-1} \right)_{JI} \left| J \rangle \,\,, \,\, \boldsymbol{S}_{IJ} = \langle I | J \rangle \,\,.$$

RG evolution of the soft evolution operator

$$\mathbf{V}(b, M; y_{34}) = \bar{P}_q \exp\left\{-\int_{b_0^2/b^2}^{M^2} \frac{dq^2}{q^2} \Gamma_t(\alpha_S(q^2); y_{34})\right\}.$$

V fulfils the following evolution equation

$$\frac{d\mathbf{V}(b, Q; y_{34})}{d\ln(b_0^2/b^2)} = \Gamma_t(\alpha_S(b_0^2/b^2); y_{34})\mathbf{V}(b, Q; y_{34}).$$

The solution to this equation can be written as

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{V}(b,Q) &= \mathbf{K}(\alpha_{S}(b_{0}^{2}/b^{2}))\mathbf{V}^{(\mathrm{LO})}(\alpha_{S}(b_{0}^{2}/b^{2}),\alpha_{S}(Q^{2}))\mathbf{K}^{-1}(\alpha_{S}(Q^{2}))\,,\\ &\qquad \mathbf{K}(\alpha_{S}) = \mathbf{1} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\alpha_{S}}{\pi}\right)^{n} \mathbf{K}^{(n)}\,.\\ &\qquad \frac{d\mathbf{V}^{(\mathrm{LO})}(\alpha_{S},\alpha_{S}')}{d\ln\alpha_{S}} = -\frac{1}{\beta_{0}} \boldsymbol{\Gamma}_{t}^{(1)} \mathbf{V}^{(\mathrm{LO})}(\alpha_{S},\alpha_{S}')\,,\\ &\qquad \mathbf{V}^{(\mathrm{LO})}(\alpha_{S}(b_{0}^{2}/b^{2}),\alpha_{S}(Q^{2})) = \exp\left\{\frac{1}{\beta_{0}} \boldsymbol{\Gamma}_{t}^{(1)} \ln\left(\frac{\alpha_{S}(Q^{2})}{\alpha_{S}(b_{0}^{2}/b^{2})}\right)\right\}\,.\\ &\qquad \mathbf{V}^{(\mathrm{LO})}_{cc'} = \frac{1}{\langle \boldsymbol{c} | \boldsymbol{c} \rangle} \left\langle \boldsymbol{c} | \mathbf{V}^{(\mathrm{LO})} | \boldsymbol{c}' \right\rangle = \sum_{l} R_{cl} \exp\left\{\frac{\lambda_{l}^{(1)}}{\beta_{0}} \int_{b_{0}^{2}/b^{2}}^{Q^{2}} \boldsymbol{\beta}(\alpha_{S}(q^{2}))\right\} R_{lc'}^{-1}\,, \end{split}$$

 $\lambda_l^{(1)}$ are the eigenvalues of first-order soft anomalous dimension matrix = 220

The NLO+NLL structure of the final-state radiation

The $H\Delta$ factor can be organized as follows to all orders

► At NLO+NLL
$$(Q = M)$$

$$g_{C}^{(2)}(\alpha_{S}L) = \frac{\lambda_{I}^{(1)*} + \lambda_{J}^{(1)}}{\beta_{0}} \ln(1 - \lambda),$$
with $\lambda = \frac{1}{\pi}\beta_{0}\alpha_{S}(\mu_{R}^{2})L, L = \ln \frac{Q^{2}b^{2}}{b_{0}^{2}}.$

$$H\Delta \sim \sum_{\{C\}} \alpha_{S}^{2} \left(\mathcal{H}^{\{C\},(0)} \exp\{g_{C}^{(2)}\} + \frac{\alpha_{S}}{\pi}\mathcal{H}^{\{C\},(1)}\right),$$

$$\mathcal{H}^{\{C\},(0)} = \langle \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}^{(0)} | \mathcal{X}_{I} \rangle S_{IJ} \langle \mathcal{X}_{J} | \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}^{(0)} \rangle$$

$$\mathcal{H}^{\{C\},(1)} = \left(\langle \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}^{(1)} | \mathcal{X}_{I} \rangle \langle \mathcal{X}_{J} | \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}^{(0)} \rangle + \langle \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}^{(0)} | \mathcal{X}_{I} \rangle \langle \mathcal{X}_{J} | \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}^{(1)} \rangle\right) S_{IJ} = -\infty$$

Resummation in MC event generators

 q_T -resummation is effectively performed by Monte Carlo event generators.

 Based on the QCD factorization at the level of squared matrix elements.

In the case of strongly interacting final state particles the additional soft singularities are colour correlated.

The large logs due to the soft radiation off the final state and due to the initial-final state interference are not under control through the shower.

(ロ) (同) (三) (三) (三) (○) (○)

Preliminary results at NLO+NLL

 Large distortion of the spectrum due to soft radiation off the top quarks.



◆ロ▶★舂▶★≧▶★≧▶ 差 のなぐ

Resummation scale variation

► The resummation scale variation is of the order of 15% up to $q_T = 100 \text{ GeV}$. Increases at large transverse momenta.



Renormalisation and factorisation scale variation

- The renormalisation and factorisation scale variation is of the order of 15% in the low-q_T region.
- shrinks a bit in the intermediate region, and increases at large transverse momenta, reaching to 100%.



- Comparison to the data Both CMS and ATLAS experiments measured the q_T distribution of the $t\bar{t}$ pair at the LHC at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV. CMS-PAS-TOP-11-013, G. Aad et al. [ATLAS Collaboration], 2013.
 - Very recently ATLAS has also published the measurements of normalized differential cross sections at $\sqrt{s} = 8$ TeV M. Aaboud et al. [ATLAS Collaboration], 2016.

$p_{T,t\bar{t}}$ [GeV]	$\frac{1}{\sigma} \frac{d\sigma}{dp_{T,t\bar{t}}} [\text{TeV}^{-1}] \text{ ATLAS}$	$\frac{1}{\sigma} \frac{d\sigma}{dp_{T,t\bar{t}}} [\text{TeV}^{-1}] \text{ NLO+NLL}$
0-30	14.3 ± 1.0	14.96 ± 0.99
30-70	7.60 ± 0.16	7.81 ± 0.36
70-120	2.94 ± 0.28	$\textbf{2.84} \pm \textbf{0.28}$
120-180	1.14 ± 0.12	0.99 ± 0.08
180-250	0.42 ± 0.04	0.34 ± 0.02
250-350	0.143 ± 0.018	0.096 ± 0.020
350-1000	0.0099 ± 0.0015	0.0062 ± 0.0040

Table : Normalized $p_{T.t\bar{t}}$ distribution at $\sqrt{s} = 8$ TeV.

- Good agreement with the experimental results along the whole range of transverse momenta.
- The theoretical uncertainties are of the order of experimental uncertainties.

q_T -subtraction

Knowledge of the low q_T limit is essential also for the fixed order calculation in the q_T -subtraction formalism. It has been originally proposed for the production of colourless high-mass systems in hadron collisions. [Catani, Grazzini (2007)].

- ▶ $pp \rightarrow H$ [Catani, Grazzini (2007)].
- ▶ $pp \rightarrow V$ [Catani, Cieri, Ferrera, de Florian, Grazzini (2009)].
- ▶ $pp \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$ [Catani, Cieri, Ferrera, de Florian, Grazzini (2011)].
- ▶ $pp \rightarrow WH$ [Ferrera, Grazzini, Tramontano (2011)].
- ▶ $pp \rightarrow Z\gamma$ [Grazzini, Kallweit, Rathlev, Torre (2013)].
- *pp* → ZZ [Cascioli, Gehrmann, Grazzini, Kallweit, Maierhöfer, von Manteuffel, Pozzorini, Rathlev, Tancredi, Weihs (2014)].
- ▶ $pp \rightarrow W^+W^-$ [Gehrmann, Grazzini, Kallweit, Maierhöfer, von Manteuffel, Pozzorini, Rathlev, Tancredi (2014)].
- ▶ $pp \rightarrow ZH$ [Ferrera, Grazzini, Tramontano (2014)].
- pp → WZ [Grazzini, Kallweit, Rathlev, Wiesemann (2016)]. (talk by M. Wiesemann)
- ▶ pp → HH [de Florian, Grazzini, Hanga, Kallweit, Lindert, Meierhöfer, Mazzitelli, Rathlev (2016)]. (talk by J. Mazzitelli)

q_T -subtraction for $t\bar{t}$

The fully differential cross section at N(NLO):

$$d\sigma_{N(NLO)}^{t\bar{t}} = \mathcal{H}_{N(NLO)}^{t\bar{t}} \otimes d\sigma_{LO}^{t\bar{t}} + \left[d\sigma_{N(LO)}^{t\bar{t}+jet} - d\sigma_{N(LO)}^{CT}
ight] .$$

Regular as $q_T \to 0$

- \$\mathcal{H}_{N(NLO)}^{t\bar{t}}\$ is the hard factor, which contains information on the virtual corrections to the LO process.
- $d\sigma_{\rm LO}^{t\bar{t}}$ is the Born cross section.
- $d\sigma_{N(LO)}^{t\bar{t}+jet}$ is the N(LO) cross section of $t\bar{t}+jet(s)$ process.
- ► dσ^{CT}_{N(LO)} is the counterterm, which can be derived by expanding the resummation formula.

Azimuthal correlations

- Production of a colourless system
 - The gluonic collinear functions are the only source of azimuthal correlations

 $C_{aa}^{\mu\nu}(z; p_1, p_2, \mathbf{b}) = d^{\mu,\nu}(p_1, p_2)C_{aa}(z) + D^{\mu,\nu}(p_1, p_2; \mathbf{b})G_{aa}(z)$

Top-quark pair production

 $\Delta(b, M; y_{34}, \phi_3) = \mathbf{V}^{\dagger}(b, M; y_{34}) \mathbf{D}(\alpha_{S}; \phi_{3b}, y_{34}) \mathbf{V}(b, M; y_{34}).$

Additional azimuthal correlations produced by the dynamics of soft-parton radiation, embodied in **D**.

$$\mathbf{D}(\alpha_{\mathcal{S}};\phi_{3b},\mathbf{y}_{34}) = 1 + \frac{\alpha_{\mathcal{S}}}{\pi} \mathbf{D}^{(1)}(\phi_{3b},\mathbf{y}_{34}) + \mathcal{O}(\alpha_{\mathcal{S}}^2)$$

 $\langle \mathbf{D} \left(\alpha_{\mathcal{S}} \left(b_0^2 / b^2 \right); \phi_{3b}, y_{34} \right) \rangle_{\text{av.}} = 1 \longrightarrow \text{vanishing contribution to } \langle \sigma \rangle_{\text{av.}} \text{at } \mathcal{O}(\alpha_{\mathcal{S}})$

But contributes at $\mathcal{O}(\alpha_s^2)$ due to the interference of the initial-final state azimuthal correlations State azimuthal contractions
→non-trivial integration over the azimuthal angle (computed analytically!)

Our fixed-order implementation

Up to NLO our implementation is based on

- The scattering amplitudes and phase space generation of MCFM program.
- We use the corresponding routines of Higgs boson production code HNNLO and vector boson production code DYNNLO, suitably modified for the *t*t production.

At NNLO accuracy the $t\bar{t}$ + jet cross section is evaluated by using the MUNICH code which provides:

- Fully automatic implementation of the NLO dipole subtraction formalism.
- Interface to the OPENLOOPS one-loop generator.

Results at NLO



Results at NLO



▲□▶▲圖▶▲臣▶▲臣▶ 臣 のへで

Results at NNLO

Cross section [pb]	$\mathcal{O}(\alpha_S^4)_{qg}$	$\mathcal{O}(\alpha_{\mathcal{S}}^{4})_{q(\bar{q})q'}$
q_T subtraction	-2.25(5)	0.151(3)
Top++	-2.253	0.148

Table : $\mathcal{O}(\alpha_S^4)$ contribution to the total cross section for $t\bar{t}$ production at the LHC at $\sqrt{s} = 8$ TeV.

Cross section [pb]	$\mathcal{O}(\alpha_{S}^{4})_{qg}$	$\mathcal{O}(\alpha_S^4)_{q(\bar{q})q'}$
q_T subtraction	-61.5(5)	1.33(1)
Top++	-61.53	1.33

Table : $\mathcal{O}(\alpha_S^4)$ contribution to the total cross section for $t\bar{t}$ production at the LHC at $\sqrt{s} = 2 \text{ TeV}$.

$$qg=qg+ar{q}g, \quad q(ar{q})q'=qq+ar{q}ar{q}+qq'+ar{q}ar{q}'+qar{q}'+ar{q}q'$$

Summary

- ► I have presented the computation of the q_T-resummed cross section for the tt production at hadron colliders at NLO+NLL in QCD.
- The calculation is more complicated with respect to hadroproduction of colourless systems due to the additional radiation of soft gluons off the top quarks.
- The resummation of large logs due to the soft radiation off top quarks leads to a sizeable distortion of the transverse momentum spectrum.
- ► Within the uncertainties our results agree with the most up-to-date ATLAS measurement of the q_T distribution.
- The uncertainties due to the scale variations are large, being of the order of experimental uncertainties.
- We have used the knowledge of the low q_T behaviour of the amplitudes to extend the q_T subtraction method for the $t\bar{t}$ production at hadron colliders at NLO and NNLO in all non-diagonal channels.
- We have implemented the calculation in a fully-differential Monte Carlo program and found good agreement with the known results.

Backup Slides

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ □▶ ◆ □▶ ● □ ● ● ● ●

Comparision to the fixed order

- ► The spectrum for $Q = \frac{m_t}{2}$ matches very well the fixed order curve at large transverse momenta.
- For the scales $Q = m_t$ and $Q = 2m_t$ the need of a switching procedure at intermediate values is evident.

